September 8, 2025

U.S. Department of Transportation Docket No. DOT-OST-2025-0468 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE Washington, DC 20590

To the Honorable Secretary Duffy,

This bipartisan collective of state legislators from across the country writes to underscore the importance of maintaining and strengthening federal transportation programs that reduce wildlife-vehicle collisions and improve habitat connectivity across America's roadways.

We represent diverse regions and political perspectives, but we share a common responsibility: ensuring public safety, protecting taxpayer dollars, and supporting the economies and communities that depend on safe and reliable transportation networks. Wildlife-vehicle collisions threaten all three. Each year, these collisions cause more than 200 human deaths, 26,000 injuries, and over \$10 billion in costs nationwide—while also killing millions of animals (1).

Fortunately, research has shown that well-designed and well-sited wildlife crossings, combined with fencing, can reduce collisions by up to 97% (2). Their construction can also deliver substantial co-benefits, including job creation and local economic and private donor investment (3). By simultaneously supporting the preservation of wildlife populations, the crossings also support the preservation of hunting, fishing, and wildlife-watching opportunities that matter deeply to our constituents.

Over the last decade, red, blue, and purple states alike have overwhelmingly passed legislation directing their state departments of transportation to identify, prioritize, and construct wildlife crossings where they are needed most. When the current infrastructure law created and funded the \$350 million Wildlife Crossings Pilot Program (WCPP), states saw the largest increase of introduced and passed wildlife crossing-related legislation and appropriations (4). The federal funding provided by the WCPP also gave states without regulations the opportunity to voluntarily study, prioritize, and construct needed crossings (e.g., AZ, CT, ID, GA, KY, ME, MI, and MO). This shows that state investments were catalyzed by the creation of the new WCPP.

As this Administration considers what programs will bring better innovation and safety to our transportation system, we submit this bipartisan letter in support of the following policies and programs that will help our states to reduce dangerous and costly wildlife-vehicle collisions.

1. Reauthorize and Increase Funding for the Discretionary Wildlife Crossings Pilot Program.

Applications in the first two grant rounds far exceeded available dollars, demonstrating urgent demand from the states. Additional funding is necessary to meet this need, respond to inflation since 2021, and allow agencies an opportunity to apply again for the needed crossings they

have just identified through their statewide prioritization studies recently funded by this program (e.g. AZ, CT, GA, KY, MI, and MO).

The program should remain discretionary to preserve flexibility for agencies at different stages of project readiness. A move to formula funding would spread the already modest amount of funding across states with different levels of funding needs. Some states are still in the inexpensive study phase, while others are ready to act on multi-million-dollar, shovel-ready projects. Formula grants could not currently accommodate these diverse needs.

2. Maintain Wildlife-Vehicle Collision Reduction Measures as Eligible Expenses Across Federal Programs

Continuing to allow wildlife crossings as eligible expenses across a variety of transportation grant programs will give states and our communities flexibility to address this pressing safety issue (5).

3. Preserve Existing Bipartisan Reporting, Research, and Training Provisions.

Existing infrastructure law provisions related to the dispersal of Federal Highway Administration best practices for data collection, design standards, and workforce training have been, and will continue to be instrumental to ensuring that projects on the state level are effective and cost-efficient.

4. To the Extent Possible, Make Maintenance of Wildlife Infrastructure Eligible for Federal Funding

Federal-aid highway projects require eventual maintenance, yet the upkeep of wildlife crossings and fencing is currently ineligible and states must foot this bill. This fact can deter some states from pursuing these critical public safety projects. Making funding available for maintenance would extend the lifetime safety and fiscal benefits of these investments (6).

5. Allow Flexibility for Wildlife Infrastructure in Emergency Relief Projects.

When rebuilding after disasters, integrating wildlife infrastructure at the outset is more cost-effective and can improve long-term safety. Wildlife crossing underpasses created by enlarged culverts or bridges can also accommodate increased water flows, making the resulting infrastructure more resilient to future storms as well. The Department should encourage funding eligibility for crossings structures under Emergency Relief funding when the feature would come at a minimal additional expense, improve public safety and infrastructure resiliency, and not significantly delay community recovery.

As state legislators, we see the human, financial, and ecological costs of wildlife-vehicle collisions every day. We also see the extraordinary potential of wildlife crossings to save lives, save money, and sustain the outdoor heritage valued by our constituents. We thank the Department for its leadership on this issue and strongly encourage continued prioritization and integration of permanent wildlife-vehicle collision reduction programs within the U.S. Department of Transportation and within the Department's FY 26-30 Strategic Plan.

Respectfully,

| Colorado Senator Cleave Simpson (CO-6) | Maryland Delegate Sheila Ruth (MD-44B) |
|--|---|
| Alaska Representative Zack Fields (AK-17) | Arizona Senator Lauren Kuby (AZ-8) |
| Arizona Senator Priya Sundareshan (AZ-18) | Colorado Speaker Pro Tempore Andrew Boesenecker (CO-53) |
| Colorado Representative Brianna Titone (CO-27) | Colorado Senator Cathy Kipp (CO-14) |
| Colorado Senator Dylan Roberts (CO-8) | Colorado Representative Elizabeth Velasco (CO-57) |
| Colorado Senator Janice Marchman (CO-15) | Colorado Speaker Julie McCluskie (CO-13) |
| Colorado Representative Junie Joseph (CO-10) | Colorado Representative Karen McCormick (CO-11) |
| Colorado Representative Meg Froelich (CO-3) | Colorado Representative Meghan Lukens (CO-26) |
| Connecticut Representative Aimee Berger-Girvalo (CT-111) | Connecticut Representative Kevin Ryan (CT-139) |
| Delaware Representative Sophie Phillips (DE-18) | Delaware Senator Stephanie Hansen (DE-10) |
| Florida Representative Anna Eskamani (FL-42) | Florida Representative Lindsay Cross (FL-60) |
| Georgia Representative Kim Schofield (GA-63) | Georgia Representative Marvin Lim (GA-98) |
| Georgia Representative Mary Frances Williams (GA-37) | Hawaii Senator Angus McKelvey (HI-6) |

| Hawaii Representative Della Belatti (HI-26) | Hawaii Representative Tina Grandinetti (HI-20) |
|---|---|
| Illinois Senator Cristina Castro (IL-22) | Illinois Senator Rachel Ventura (IL-43) |
| Indiana Representative Carolyn Jackson (IN-1) | Indiana Representative Matt Pierce (IN-61) |
| Indiana Representative Mike Karickhoff (IN-30) | lowa Senator Art Staed (IA-40) |
| Maine Representative Gary Friedmann (ME-14) | Maine Representative Poppy Arford (ME-101) |
| Maine Senator Nicole Grohoski (ME-7) | Maine Representative Sophie Warren (ME-124) |
| Maryland Senator Brian Feldman (MD-15) | Maryland Senator Ben Kramer (MD-19) |
| Maryland Delegate Jen Terrasa (MD-013) | Maryland Delegate Julie Palakovich Carr (MD-017) |
| Maryland Delegate Lorig Charkoudian (MD-020) | Maryland Delegate Regina Boyce (MD-043A) |
| Maryland Senator Sara Love (MD-016) | Maryland Delegate Susan McComas (MD-034B) |
| Massachusetts Representative David LeBoeuf (MA-17th Worcester) | Massachusetts Representative Shirley Arriaga (MA-8th Hampden) |
| Massachusetts Representative Steven "Steve" Owens (MA-29th Middlesex) | Michigan Representative Erin Byrnes (MI-015) |
| Michigan Representative Julie Brixie (MI-073) | Michigan Representative Noah Arbit (MI-020) |
| Missississippi Senator Hillman Frazier (MS-27) | Minnesota Senator Jim Abeler (MN-35) |
| Montana Senator Andrea Olsen (MT-48) | Montana Senator Cora Neumann (MT-30) |

| Montana Senator Dave Fern (MT-2) | Montana Senator Denley Loge (MT-45) |
|---|--|
| Montana Senator Ellie Boldman (MT-47) | Montana Senator Jacinda Morigeau (MT-50) |
| Montana Senator Janet Ellis (MT-41) | Montana Representative Joshua Seckinger (MT-62) |
| Montana Senator Mary Ann Dunwell (MT-42) | Nevada Assemblymember Duy Nguyen (NV-8) |
| Nevada Assemblymember Howard Watts (NV-15) | Nevada Senator Julie Pazina (NV-12) |
| Nevada Assemblymember Tracy Brown-May (NV-42) | New Hampshire Senator David Watters (NH-4) |
| New Hampshire Representative Jessica LaMontagne (NH-Strafford 17) | New Hampshire Representative Susan Almy (NH-Grafton 17 (Floterial)) |
| New Hampshire Representative Tony Caplan (NH-Merrimack 8) | New Hampshire Representative Wendy Thomas (NH-Hillsborough 12) |
| New Jersey Senator Bob Smith (NJ-17) | New Jersey Assemblymember Clinton Calabrese (NJ-36) |
| New Mexico Representative Andrea Romero (NM-46) | New Mexico Senator Elizabeth Stefanics (NM-39) |
| New Mexico Representative Elizabeth Thomson (NM-24) | New Mexico Representative Dayan Hochman-Vigil (NM-15) |
| New Mexico Senate President Pro Tempore Mimi Stewart (NM-17) | New Mexico Representative Pamelya Herndon (NM-28) |
| New Mexico Senator Antoinette Sedillo Lopez (NM-16) | New York Assemblymember Jo Anne Simon (NY-52) |

| North Carolina Representative Eric Ager (NC-114) | North Carolina Representative James Roberson (NC-39) |
|--|---|
| North Carolina Representative Julie von Haefen (NC-36) | North Carolina Representative Pricey Harrison (NC-61) |
| North Carolina Representative Renée Price (NC-50) | North Carolina Representative Sarah Crawford (NC-66) |
| North Dakota Senator Tim Mathern (ND-11) | Pennsylvania Representative Ben Waxman (PA-182) |
| Pennsylvania Representative Greg Vitali (PA-166) | Pennsylvania Representative Mary Jo Daley (PA-148) |
| Pennsylvania Representative Tim Briggs (PA-149) | Puerto Rico Senator Ada Alvarez Conde (PR-000) |
| Rhode Island Senator Alana DiMario (RI-36) | Rhode Island Representative Arthur Handy (RI-18) |
| Rhode Island Senator Meghan Kallman (RI-15) | Rhode Island Representative Teresa Tanzi (RI-34) |
| South Dakota Representative Kadyn Wittman (SD-15) | South Dakota Senator Liz Larson (SD-10) |
| Utah Representative Angela Romero (UT-25) | Utah Representative Rex Shipp (UT-71) |
| Vermont Representative Barbara Rachelson (VT-31) | Vermont Representative Esme Cole (VT-105) |
| Vermont Representative Jim Masland (VT-108) | Vermont Representative Kate Logan (VT-33) |
| Vermont Representative Larry Satcowitz (VT-63) | Virginia Senator Jennifer Boysko (VA-38) |
| Virginia Representative Shelly Simonds (VA-70) | Washington Representative Cindy Ryu (WA-32) |

Washington Representative Joe Fitzgibbon Washington Representative Lisa Parshley (WA-34) (WA-22) Washington Senator Liz Lovelett Washington Representative Mia Gregerson (WA-40) (WA-33) Washington Senator Sharon Shewmake Wisconsin Representative Alex Joers (WA-42) (WI-81) Wisconsin Representative Christine Sinicki Wisconsin Senator Jodi Sinykin (WI-20) (WI-8) Wisconsin Senator Mark Spreitzer Wisconsin Representative Shelia Stubbs (WI-15) (WI-78)

References:

- 1. M.P. Huijser et al., *Wildlife-Vehicle Collision Reduction Study: Report to Congress*, FHWA-HRT-08-034 (Fed. Highway Admin., Office of Safety Research & Dev., Aug. 2008) (on file with U.S. Dep't of Transp.); ARC Solutions, *Inaugural round of \$110 million in Wildlife Crossings Pilot funding marks landmark investment in solving a \$10 billion problem* (Dec. 5, 2023), https://arc-solutions.org/article/inaugural-round-of-110-million-in-wildlife-crossings-pilot-funding-marks-landmark-investment-in-solving-a-10-billion-problem/.
- 2. J.G. Woods, Effectiveness of Fences and Underpasses on the Trans-Canada Highway and Their Impact on Ungulate Populations (Report to Banff Nat'l Park Warden Serv., Banff, Alta., Can., 1990).
- 3. Willard Springs Wildlife Overpass Pumps \$15.8 Million into Northern Arizona Economy, Flagstaff Bus. News (July 8, 2025), https://www.flagstaffbusinessnews.com/willard-springs-wildlife-overpass-pumps-15-8-million

-into-northern-arizona-economy/; The Wallis Annenberg Wildlife Crossing in Southern California was primarily constructed with private grant dollars, and a 2024 Wildlife Crossing Pilot Program Award was given to a Red Wolf Crossing Project in Coastal North Carolina, where a private anonymous pledge supported the majority of the required matching funds.

4. L. Christian & E. Sito, State of the States: A Look at How Far U.S. State Habitat Connectivity Legislation Has Advanced and What Is Working (Wildlands Network, May 2024), https://static1.squarespace.com/static/60b7e4e41506593f7f926fe7/t/6643a116b84aa32e721356 ad/1715708201864/SoS+Master+Report+FINAL+5.14+1pm+EST.pdf.

- 5. R. Callahan, M. Brocki & A. Keil, *Wildlife Infrastructure Funding Guide: Funding Opportunities within the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act* (ARC Solutions et al., 2024), https://arc-solutions.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/06/Wildlife-Infrastructure-Funding-Guide.pdf (for examples of existing federal programs that cover wildlife crossing expenses).
- 6. Programs to carry forward include the Best Practices Study and Report (23 U.S.C. § 172(a)); the Statewide Voluntary Transportation and Wildlife Action Plans (23 U.S.C. § 172(a)(3)(B)(iv)); the Workforce Development and Technical Training (23 U.S.C. § 172(b)); Collision and Carcass Data Methodology (23 U.S.C. § 172(c)); National Mitigation Threshold Guidance (23 U.S.C. § 172(d)); Wildlife Crossing Design Standards (23 U.S.C. § 109(c)(2)(F)); National Bridge and Tunnel Inventory and Inspection Standards (23 U.S.C. § 144(a)(2)(F), (b)(6), (i)(3)); National (49 U.S.C. § 70102(b)(12)); and State Freight Plans (49 U.S.C. § 70202(b)(16)